



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER 09/040,825	FILING DATE 03/18/98	FRYBERG FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	M	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. ICH275
-----------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------------	---	-------------------------------

IM22/0526  
OSTRAGER CHONG FLAHERTY & ONOFRIO  
300 PARK AVENUE  
NEW YORK NY 10022

YAMNITZKY, M EXAMINER	
1774 ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER 05/26/00 10

DATE MAILED:

*Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application*

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

- a)  is extended to run 4 months or continues to run \_\_\_\_\_ from the date of the final rejection  
b)  expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 05/18/00 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

1.  The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:

- a.  There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
- b.  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
- c.  They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
- d.  They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
- e.  They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: *Requires further consideration of at least claims 4, 5 and 8-11 under 35 USC 103 because these claims, as finally rejected, do not include the limitations of claim 2. Proposed amendment shows claim 4's dependency changing from "2" to --12--, but claim 4 is presently dependent from claim 1.*

2.  Newly proposed or amended claims \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3.  Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment  will be entered  will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claims allowed: None

Claims objected to: None

Claims rejected: 1-11 for reasons of record

However;

Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_

4.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because \_\_\_\_\_

5.  The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.

The proposed drawing correction  has  has not been approved by the examiner.

Other Proposed amendment of claim 5 does not clarify how the molecular weight is determined.

Proposed new claim 12 contains a "typo" ("R+H" should be -- R=H --).

*Marie R. Yamnitzky*  
MARIE YAMNITZKY  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

1774